

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/817,288	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Brad Haymond</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>21 November 2005</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1,5,13,34,38,46			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability, Part II above. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Haymond.

The amendment to claims 1 and 34 was made to ensure that the recitation of substituent "R" was a positive recitation, i.e. "is", rather than a potential recitation, i.e. "can be".

The amendment to claims 5 and 38 was made given that it is the anionic binder not the cationic polymer that comprises styrene. Support for such amendment is found on page 10, second full paragraph and page 11, fifth paragraph of the present specification.

The amendment to claims 13 and 46 was made to correct a minor grammatical error.

Further, the amendment to the specification was made so that the specification includes the continuity data.